

## Value of Philosophy in Human Being

Nur Islam Mia

Guest lecturer, Department of philosophy  
Sitalkuchi College, Sitalkuchi, Cooch Behar, West Bengal - 736158

**Abstract:** *In the present paper, I have tried to use the major needs of philosophy in human being, to analysis a major area of concern and inquiry regarding philosophical man, societies and interpersonal relation. In present time humanity, societies, environment and there relation is important in human life. So, in this paper I am trying to explore the value of philosophy in human life.*

**Keywords:** *Human Being, philosophy, Value of Philosophy, Blind Consent*

### Introduction:

Man is a social animal. Man and society are correlated with each other. To create a residential society, there needs perfect discipline man who is to be established by philosophical subject matter. Philosophical explanation is indispensable for human life. We have seen the explanation of philosophy from ancient period. Philosophy tries to entire expression about the world and lives. Philosophy is intimately related with human being. In general term philosophy aims the way of live, namely one based on reason, natural and moral knowledge. The values of philosophy is the scope and clearness of mind it provides, especially as regards the fundamental general questions of every human being somehow must answer, if only by unspoken and blind consent to previous answer. Although the foundation of all things individual human mind, human being lives, devolved and live. In cultures and civilization, the human mind is the coordinated product of the ideas human mind have product in the past and many of question no human individual can reasonably hope to solve him can be solved by the efforts of many individuals thought the course of time. My case for philosophical contemplation is simply that it aims at answering the questions that lie at the foundation of all scientist and all human communication and interaction and that all human beings most answer in some fashion, if only by unthinkingly following someone else's philosophy of life. If all man and woman must philosophize, simply because they are human being, who needs to make up their own mind on all manner of question of belief, desire and action simpler animals have instinct for, should one study philosophy academically and seriously.

### Analysis of problems: -

Philosophical explanation is indispensable for human life. We have seen the explanation of philosophy from ancient period. Philosophy tries to entire expression about the world and lives. Philosophy is intimately related with unlimited asking of human being. Actually philosophy are started from the value of life. The main aims of philosophical thinking are make an attempt to

understand the world, life and expectation of men to decide him position in world. So, all men are the most important being in our society. The famous philosopher Bertrand Russell has expressed about the nature, purpose and importance of philosophy in his essay "philosophy for Laymen". He said that Large number of teachers and students of philosophy today feel that the subject is under threat – not only from funding cuts, but from a more pervasive and less quantifiable cultural shift towards measuring value only in instrumental and monetary terms. But when some philosophers try to defend our discipline, the question of why philosophy is important sometimes gets involved with our own self-importance. More to the point, perhaps, when we seek to protect philosophy we are also protecting our livelihood. I am not suggesting that philosophers should give up insisting on the value of philosophy, or that our collective expertise in reasoning and in the history of philosophy is not something to be proud of. But the question of our objectivity concerning the significance of philosophy gives us good reason to listen to Bertrand Russell's views on this subject. The range and diversity of his work makes him well placed to comment on the value of philosophy, for he appreciated the relationship between philosophy and other kinds of inquiry. And Russell more than once showed himself to be committed to the pursuit of truth even when this hazard his professional life, or conflicted with his earlier work.

He lists a set of questions that belong to philosophical inquiry: "Do we survive death in any sense, and if so, do we survive for a time or for ever? Can mind dominate matter, or does matter completely dominate mind, or has each, perhaps, a certain limited independence? Has the universe a purpose? Or is it driven by blind necessity? If there is a cosmic scheme, has life more importance in it than astronomy would lead us to suppose, or is our emphasis upon life mere parochialism and self-importance?"

It is striking that Russell focuses here on the more "cosmic" questions of philosophy – questions that many would recognize as broadly religious as well

as philosophical. Characteristically, Russell professes his agnosticism, stating that he cannot answer such questions and that he does not believe anyone else can answer them either. Nevertheless, he continues, "Human life would be impoverished if they were forgotten, or if definite answers were accepted without adequate evidence." One important purpose of philosophy, therefore, is to keep interest in these questions alive, and to scrutinise any answer that might be proposed.

Russell revives an ancient conception of philosophy as a way of life in insisting that questions of cosmic meaning and value have an existential, ethical and spiritual urgency. In the ancient Greek tradition, Russell reminds us, philosophy was not simply a theoretical exercise, and philosophers were not just – or not at all – professional thinkers. Socrates and Plato were shocked by the sophists because they had no religious aims, he writes, and add that many of the ancient Greek philosophers founded fraternities which had a certain resemblance to the monastic orders of later times. In accordance with what is good for us – our happiness and fulfillment as human beings. Russell stands in this tradition, arguing that "if philosophy is to play a serious part in the lives of men who are not specialists, it must not cease to advocate some way of life".

Maarten maartensz has also manuphested about the value or importance of philosophy. All human beings orient their lives around ideas about what reality is like, that they believe explain their experiences and ideas about what reality and human beings should be like, that they use to guide their behavior. The first of these kinds of ideas is a metaphysical theory, the second an ethical or moral theory. Human beings seem to needs metaphysical and moral ideas because they are not born with instincts that determine for them what they should think and want, and are born with the capacities to make up their own minds and to question any belief they have or meet. It is evident that most of the ideas in history that people have used to explain human experiences have been false or unfounded in many respects, and it is also evident that most of the ideas in history or direct human behaviors have been harmful to other human beings or to themselves. The ideas people live and die for, go to war for and kill each other for, or let themselves be inspired to the making of great art or science, are all philosophical ideas. The famous philosophers, like as Heraclites, Descartes, Spinoza, Hume, Russell, etc, have been the creators of the ideas and values many people oriented their live around.

In human being arise some questions that what is the important of philosophy? What are features of philosophy? Some body agree with that philosophy is useless in human being, because there have no any technical point of view, as well as scince,geography,history etc. Actually

philosophy is out of feeling to the general person. The objective of philosophy is everywhere of human being, but it is difficult to feel, such as catching a fish in a deep sea. Regarding this point Rabidranath tagors said that he is a great philosopher who can express about philosophical theory easily. Modern philosopher's expression is nothing but the game of philosophy. It is clear that there have no any problem in philosophy. If a philosopher would present in such way which is in touch to a person, it would be easy to understand.

Regarding needs of philosophy, I will say that philosophy is necessary in our daily life or ever and now. Without philosophy a society can not be a perfect society, as well as social man can not be a perfect man, without philosophy. We know that, The world is the store of knowledge. So everybody wants to know about this world. To know something, is to ask something, philosophy begins here. A man begun to learn from birth for to be a perfect man, this is unlimited. But the question is that how we should have to learn? Or how we can gain perfect knowledge? From the answer of the above question we can reach the stay of pure knowledge. Whatever we want to know any object, we have to know perfectly. In our daily life we try to know more, but all these are not perfect and we fall in hesitations. In order to acknowledge A.J Ayer said that whenever we shall try to know something we have try acknowledge theme with truth, belief and justification, When we demand that S knows that P if and only if-1)P is true,2) S belief that P is true,3)P is justify by s. though Gaiter has reject it. But his agreement is not justified .so if we follow this condition ,we can gain the perfect knowledge .I mean, the way of knowing knowledge are three types perceptual experience introspection and memorial experience which are recorded in foundationalism of epistemology. we can know this theory by the study of philosophy .we got techniques of knowing from epistemological philosophy. so we can say that to be perfect epistemologist ,he should study philosophy.

One of the most important elements of human being is ethical life. Ethics has expressed some important characteristic which can make a honest person. According to W.Lillie, ethics is one of the most important branch of philosophy, where has essential explanation about the conduct of human being. He also said that in his famous book "An Introduction to Ethics"- "we may define ethics as the normative science of conduct of human beings living in society-a science which judge this conduct to be right or wrong , or to be good or bad or in some similar way". So, ethical subject meter is an essential for human life.

Indian philosophies are also essential for human being. In Buddhist philosophy's theory like as astangic mark, brammachaya, panchasila is very essential in our daily life which modified the

characteristics of human being. Moreover in this philosophy, there are four noble truths. If we follow that truth it is possible to remove all the pains. We all run for happiness, but it is true that the more we want happiness, the more, the happiness goes beyond our reach or touch. So we should forget about happiness which is called fallacy of hedonistic in ethics. This theory also carries out the awareness of ethical life. so we do not waste ourselves for more hope. In modern situation, we found many of us do ills for earning lot money, women are fall in torture, they are unable seeking their peacefully places. Because some illegal giants are planting the relationship between father and daughters is wasting and the student are also forgetting their terms which their teachers. Actually in all cases the individual personality are available every where. every man are using the natural things live as a things of indulgence. All there bad affects one lied in their illiteracy. If we follow the theory of Brahmabihare, panchasil, we will fight to sins. So it is necessary to study the philosophy to make our life fast living. All branch of philosophy, such as Indian philosophy, western philosophy, ethics, social and political philosophy, metaphysics, logic etc are valuable in human life.

A great philosopher *McDonald* who has explained about the value of human live. He said that human life has value - to the extent that life is worth preserving and protecting — *something other than merely being alive. Life's value must necessarily depend on the* failures of moral reasoning evident in those who strongly oppose assisted dying, euthanasia, and abortion seems rooted in the focus on the value of life itself. I would argue that any moral reasoning framed in terms of “the value of life” or “respect for life” — regardless of whether the value of life is called “sacred” or not — precludes meaningful consideration of the quality of life. What we ought to respect is human autonomy, for that is the only basis on which quality of life can be assessed. The value of each life can only be determined by the person who lives it. My life has value because I value it. If I ever cease valuing my life, perhaps because degenerative illness has diminished and will continue to diminish my capacity for carrying on the projects, activities, and engagements that give my life meaning and value, then no one can gainsay my judgment on my life's disvalue. If someone murdered me, what would make their action immoral is not simply that they have taken a life, but that they have taken what I value without my consent.

Assuming that the “value” of something is due to the extent to which expecting people to protect it contributes to a state of affairs that all appropriately enlightened humans consider “good”, it seems to me that there may be some value in a mindless hum. Philosophical thought is an essential manipestration of human live. So philosophical

thinking is a natural feature of man. As an indulgence of body, man has an indulgence of mantel state which is also importance of human being. According to Russell .if mantel pleasure has some value, philosophical explanation must be valuable.

Somebody has claim that, in philosophy has no any power of producing influence on human live. It is true that today, science and technology are unavoidable for human live, science is most pleasure full from philosophy-this opinion is not acceptable. Because the philosophical knowledge different from scientific knowledge. Philosophy is theoretical which are the ways of solving our problems of thirties knowledge. In “the problem of philosophy” Russell said that-“those question only to which, at present, no definite answer can be given, remain to form the residue which is called philosophy”. Some value like as right or wrong, good or bad, moral or non-moral – all these values are depend on human mind or depend on object? Such as question are the main explanations of philosophy. If we found a suitable answer of this question, then it is not belong to philosophy. From this point of view begins a new science. In that way deferent type of science has appeared. Moreover many type of revolution or realization of science has created by philosophy, but philosophical revolutions are not created by science's invention. That happened by a new philosophical theory. For example Kant's space-time theory, Leibonizt's monad theory, Descartes's interactionism - are introduces a great revolution in the world. so we can not say that philosophy is not essential in human live.

It is most important site of philosophy that philosophy is essential for liberate from superstructure and blind belief. We have some idea or belief about the world and live, are doubtful which we can eliminate by our analysis. Philosophy gives us some argument and justification for proved our idea or belief. Philosophy does not accept or reject any concept without justification. Philosopher are always try to investigate what is real truth. Such as the free intellectual person will see as god might see, without a here and now. If we got it, the world will be happiness -there have no any quarrel, unacceptable rules, misuse of power, whole society will be fast living and high living.

**Conclusion:-** Finally I want to say that the needs of philosophy are necessary for human life. Actually the creation of philosophy is for the needs of man. So, will we to be philosopher or not? – It is not a perfect question in our life, but question is that -we will to be good philosopher or bad philosopher? The relation between philosophical thinking and human being is inherence. So, philosophy is a lion's share of human life.

**References:**

- Wittgenstein L., *tractatus- logico –philosophicus*. 1921
- Ayer, A.J., *the central question of philosophy*, 1979
- Lillie, W., *Introduction to ethics*, page-1
- Bhattacharya, S.,- *paschatta darsaner itihās* , book sendigred privet limited, 35, college stead, Calcutta-700073
- Bhattacharya, S.,- *Bharotio Darshan*. book sendigred privet limited, 35, college stead, Calcutta-700073
- Russell, B., *philosophy of laymen*, 1946
- Locke, J, *An essay concerning human understanding*. 1690
- Sen, A.K., *Bingsha satabdir bislasoni Darsan*, Nabodaya publication, 61, suryasen streed, Calcutta-700009.